

Rosemary Elementary School

401 W. Hamilton Avenue • Campbell CA, 95008 • (408) 364-4254 • Grades Pre-K-4

Brian Schmaedick, Principal
bschmaedick@campbellusd.org
www.campbellusd.org

2013-14 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2014-15 School Year



Rosemary Elementary

155 N. Third Street
Campbell CA, 95008
(408) 364-4200
www.campbellusd.org

District Governing Board

Danielle M.S. Cohen

Julie Constant

Thomas M. Gemetti

Michael L. Snyder

Juliet Tiffany-Morales

District Administration

Dr. Eric Andrew
Superintendent

James Crawford
**Deputy Superintendent,
Administrative Services**

Dr. Shelly Viramontez
**Associate Superintendent, Human
Resources**

Elizabeth Wolfe
**Assistant Superintendent,
Instructional Services**

About the SARC

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school at (408) 364-4254.

School Description

COMMUNITY: Located in the West portion of Santa Clara County, Campbell Union School District serves more than 7,600 students from the communities of Campbell, San Jose, Saratoga, Santa Clara, Monte Sereno and Los Gatos.

Rosemary School, educates more than 511 students from preschool through 4th grade. Our dedicated staff provides a nurturing school environment and rigorous, engaging instruction that prepares students for success in the 21st century workplace. We focus on innovative instruction that is equitable for all students. Our innovative programs have earned us the 2014 California Distinguished School award and have established our school as an educational and cultural hub of our community. Rosemary's award-winning "Rocket Time" has led to tremendous gains in student achievement and made our school a model for others.

MISSION: Rosemary School, a unique community resource, is committed to the development of literate, responsible leaders of tomorrow.

2013-14 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kinder.	111
Gr. 1	107
Gr. 2	96
Gr. 3	95
Gr. 4	99

2013-14 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0
Asian	3.0
Filipino	0.8
Hispanic or Latino	88.6
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1.0
White	3.1
Two or More Races	0.8
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	93.1
English Learners	78.5
Students with Disabilities	5.1

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Rosemary Elementary School	12-13	13-14	14-15
Fully Credentialed	22	22	22
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Campbell Union School District	12-13	13-14	14-15
Fully Credentialed	◆	◆	336
Without Full Credential	◆	◆	6
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	◆	◆	0

◆ = data not required

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Rosemary Elementary School	12-13	13-14	14-15
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2013-14 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.00	0.00
Districtwide		
All Schools	99.56	0.44
High-Poverty Schools	99.30	0.70
Low-Poverty Schools	100.00	0.00

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Facility Conditions/Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Rosemary School, originally constructed in 1952, and rebuilt in its entirety in 1997 is comprised of 23 classrooms (including portables), a library, Family Learning Center, staff lounge, a cafeteria/multipurpose room, two playgrounds, and a childcare facility. Recent improvements include: landscaping upgrades, installation of ceiling mounted projectors in classrooms and replacement of classroom furniture.

Cleaning Process:

The school provides a safe and clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. Basic cleaning operations are performed on a daily basis throughout the school year with emphasis on classrooms and restrooms. A joint effort between students and staff helps keep the campus clean and litter-free. Administrators work daily with the school's custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules that ensure a clean, safe, and functional learning environment.

Maintenance & Repair:

A preventative maintenance program is administered by the Facility Maintenance Department staff, with heavy maintenance functions occurring during vacation periods. Additionally, Campbell Union School District administers a scheduled landscape and building maintenance program to ensure that school grounds and facilities remain in excellent repair. A work order process is used when issues arise that require immediate attention. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority; repair requests are completed efficiently.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 7/26/2014

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]			
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]			Service to drinking fountains - 8/2014
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
	[]	[X]	[]		

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2014-15)

Quality and Currency of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

District Curriculum committees select the majority of textbooks and other instructional materials with representatives from every school and grade level. Purchase of textbooks follows the State adoption cycle. All textbooks series are recommended by the State and are purchased with State Instructional Materials money. Every student has a current textbook in the basic subject areas and every classroom has calculators, dictionaries, maps/globes, and math manipulatives.

Note: Assembly Bill X4 2 (Chapter 2, Statutes of 2009-10 Fourth Extraordinary Session) signed on July 28, 2009, suspended the process and procedures for adopting instructional materials, including framework revisions, until the 2013-14 school year. Senate Bill 70 (Chapter 7 of the Statutes of 2011) extended that suspension until the 2015-16 school year. For more information go to the California Department of Education website at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ci/>

The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes

Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0

Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Year and month in which data were collected: 2010

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Grades K-4: Houghton Mifflin Reading: Medallion Edition (upgrade adopted 2010)
Mathematics	K-4: Houghton Mifflin California Mathematics (adopted 2010) 6: Holt McDougal: California Mathematics (adopted 2008) K-8 (pilot): Eureka Math
Science	Grades K-3: Scott Foresman: California Science (adopted 2008) Grades 4: Houghton Mifflin California Science (adopted 2008)
History-Social Science	Grades K-3: Scott Foresman: History-Social Science for California (adopted 2006) Grade 4: Houghton Mifflin: History- Social Science for California (adopted 2006)
Health	Health education materials are available through HealthTeacher.com for use with students in every grade in school and at home.
Visual and Performing Arts	Art Connections; SRA - McGraw Hill Art residency through partnership with Montalvo Art Center - 2nd graders

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	11-12	12-13	13-14	11-12	12-13	13-14	11-12	12-13	13-14
Science				75	76	70	60	59	60

* Science (grades 5, 8, and 10) assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13
ELA	42	47	50	63	64	62	54	56	55
Math	66	75	78	66	66	68	49	50	50
HSS				47	53	53	48	49	49

* STAR Program was last administered in 2012-13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison			
API Rank	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Statewide	3	5	7
Similar Schools	6	8	10

* For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

Grade Level	2013-14 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2013-14 CAASPP Results by Student Group	
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)
All Students in the LEA	
All Student at the School	N/A. Rosemary
Male	School is TK-Grade 4
Female	
Black or African American	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	
Filipino	
Hispanic or Latino	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	
White	
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	
English Learners	
Students with Disabilities	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	

* CAASPP includes science assessments (CSTs, CMA, and CAPA) in grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison			
Group	Actual API Change		
	10-11	11-12	12-13
All Students at the School	11	40	23
Black or African American	N/D	N/D	N/D
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/D	N/D	N/D
Asian	N/D	N/D	N/D
Filipino	N/D	N/D	N/D
Hispanic or Latino	10	44	28
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	N/D	N/D	N/D
White	N/D	N/D	N/D
Two or More Races	N/D	N/D	N/D
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	19	40	28
English Learners	7	41	21
Students with Disabilities	N/D	N/D	N/D

* "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

At Rosemary, it is our goal to connect with each child and work to help him/her succeed both academically and socially. We invite parents to work with us in promoting that success by forming a strong home/school team to support our children's learning.

Parent and community involvement at Rosemary include the School Site Council, Home and School Club, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), Project Cornerstone's Los Dichos and ABC Reading programs, the Garden Committee, amongst others. Teachers are involved in the Rosemary Leadership Team (RLT), Building Advisory Committee (BAC), Safety Committee, and School Site Council. Through their involvement, the various stakeholders mentioned have knowledge of and/or input into our Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA).

Please feel free to stop by the office, call, or email us if you have questions, concerns, or suggestions.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

The School Safety plan for the 2013-14 school year was updated and approved September, 5, 2013 and reviewed with staff August 13, 2013.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	11-12	12-13	13-14
Suspensions Rate	2	1.7	1.6
Expulsions Rate	0	0	0.2
District	11-12	12-13	13-14
Suspensions Rate	5.9	3.4	2.3
Expulsions Rate	0.09	0	0.0
State	11-12	12-13	13-14
Suspensions Rate	5.7	5.1	4.4
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2013-14 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria		
AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No Data for this year	
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts		
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics		
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts		
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics		
Met API Criteria		

2014-15 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2009-2010
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		3
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		75.0

* DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.75
Psychologist	0.6
Social Worker	0
Nurse	0.35
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.6
Resource Specialist	0.5
Other	0.04
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	508

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution												
Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
Grade	12	13	14	12	13	14	12	13	14	12	13	14
Kinder.	20.6	22	22	4			1	5	5	0		
Gr. 1	22.4	19	21	3	5		2		5	0		
Gr. 2	23.3	24	24	0			3	3	4	0		
Gr. 3	22.2	24	24	4			1	4	4	0		
Gr. 4	29.7	27	25	0			3	4	4	0		
Other	0			2			0			0		

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

FY 2012-13 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$48,808	\$41,507
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$73,068	\$67,890
Highest Teacher Salary	\$87,960	\$86,174
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$112,725	\$109,131
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$118,374	\$111,937
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$0	\$109,837
Superintendent Salary	\$206,936	\$185,462
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	41	42
Administrative Salaries	7	6

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2012-13 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	5522.39	451.74	5070.65	71944.88
District	◆	◆	4860.87	\$70,805
State	◆	◆	\$4,690	\$70,788
Percent Difference: School Site/District			4.3	1.6
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			8.1	1.6

◆ = data not required

Types of Services Funded at Rosemary Elementary School

In addition to general state funding, Campbell Union School District received state and federal funding for the following special programs in 2013-14.

- Title I, Part A: Basic Grant
- Title II, Part A: Improving Teacher Quality
- Title III, Part A: Limited English Proficiency (LEP)
- State Preschool
- State Child Care
- Federal Free/Reduced meals

Professional Development provided for Teachers at Rosemary Elementary School

Campbell Union School District's work year calendar allocated three full-day Professional Development days in the 2012-2013 school year, three in 2013-2014, and four are planned for 2014-15. The District provides professional development for all credentialed and non-credentialed staff. Classified staff members who work directly with students are trained in the same focal areas as the teachers.

PRIMARY AREAS OF FOCUS FOR STAFF DEVELOPMENT:

The primary areas of focus for staff development in all CUSD schools is training to support the implementation of the Common Core State Standards. The focus is on understanding the instructional shifts associated with Common Core standards, the content standards themselves and the Eight Mathematical Practices. Carefully examining student data takes place at regularly scheduled collaboration meetings where teachers discuss specific learning needs and develop intervention plans for targeted students. The professional development offered supports teachers in learning best practices for meeting the needs of all learners through a variety of Common Core aligned assessments. Professional Development is also offered in the area of STEAM and 21st Century Learning strategies.

METHODS OF PD:

We use many different models for delivery of professional development. Our teachers are given release days to attend sessions or conferences. Sometimes teachers are paid a stipend to do professional development on a weekend or during the summer. We offer after school sessions throughout the entire school year, and we take into consideration staff requests for both content and timing of the professional development.

HOW TEACHERS ARE SUPPORTED:

We have extensive coaching in our district, both through our site Common Core Coaches and through our district math, literacy and STEAM Coaches. All of our coaches follow coaching cycles to ensure that teachers are regularly examining student data to align instructional practices that maximize student learning. Grade Level Leader meetings and district job-alike meetings happen regularly to support teachers in planned collaboration time where best strategies can be shared across the district. Collaboration time is also planned at each school site so that teachers and administrators can do the work of a professional learning community. Administrators conduct regular classroom visitations to offer support and feedback for teachers so that they can continually improve their practice.